Mike P
NYD 981 133 184
2D3

Amphenol

Amphenol Corporation

Aerospace Operations
40-60 Delaware Avenue
Sidney, NY 13838-1395
Telephone (607) 563-5940
Fax (607) 563-5849

February 3, 2009

New York State Department of
Environmental Conservation
ATTN: Mr. Paul J. Merges, Ph.D.
Chief, Bureau of Radiation and Hazardous Site Management
Division of Solid and Hazardous Materials
625 Broadway – 8th Floor
Albany, New York 12233-7252

Re: Surface Impoundment - Post Closure Monitoring Program
Sampling and Monitoring Results
Amphenol Corporation, Amphenol Aerospace
Sidney, New York 13838-1395

Dear Mr. Merges:

Please find enclosed two (2) copies of the 2008 Semi-Annual Report for the former Amphenol surface impoundments.

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage this system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

If you have any questions or comments regarding this report or the project in general, please call me at (607) 563-5940.

Very truly yours,

Joseph Bianchi
Manager, Environmental Affairs

cc: New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
John Woodyshek, Village of Sidney
Director, Bureau of Environmental Exposure Investigation
USEPA, Environmental Planning and Protection Division

Amphenol

JTM ASSOCIATES, LLC
environmental consulting

January 30, 2009

Mr. Joseph Bianchi
Manager, Environmental
Amphenol Corporation
40-60 Delaware Avenue
Sidney, New York 13838-1395

Re: Former Surface Impoundments
2008 Semi-Annual Monitoring Report

File: 001.002

Dear Mr. Bianchi:

The attached materials present the 2008 semi-annual ground water monitoring report for the Former Surface Impoundments. More specifically the following is provided:

- Discussion regarding the local ground water flow patterns and ground water chemistry
- Tables summarizing ground water elevation and ground water chemistry data
- Maps illustrating ground water flow patterns and contaminant distribution
- Historical trend plots of ground water elevation data
- Monitoring well inspection reports

Should questions arise regarding any of the enclosed materials, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Very truly yours,
JTM ASSOCIATES, LLC

James T. Mickam, PG
President

Cc: Sam Waldo – Amphenol Corporation

290 Elwood Davis Road, Suite 290, Liverpool, New York 13088 ○ 315.641.1216 fax: 315.461.4713

Former Surface Impoundments 2008 Semi-Annual Ground Water Monitoring Report

Amphenol Corporation
Sidney, New York

JTM ASSOCIATES, LLC
environmental consulting

Introduction

This report presents the 2008 semi-annual report for the RCRA post closure ground water monitoring program at the former Amphenol Corporation wastewater surface impoundments. It is submitted in compliance with requirements of the Part 373 hazardous waste post-closure permit dated February 15, 1991 issued by the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC). The content of this document is consistent with the revised post closure ground water monitoring plan approved October 16, 2001.

General background

Amphenol (formerly the Bendix Connector Group of Allied-Signal) manufactures various electrical components and connectors at its Sidney, New York facility. Prior to 1980, industrial wastewater generated by the facility was discharged to surface impoundments for treatment and disposal.

Beginning in 1985, Amphenol undertook a series of activities to close the surface impoundments and remediate impacts to the local ground water that resulted from their historical use. These efforts included the installation and operation of a ground water recovery and treatment system. This system extracted ground water that had been contaminated with chlorinated volatile organic compounds (VOCs) for treatment by a packed column air-stripper. The ground water remedial system

began operation in mid-1986.

The former impoundments are located in the flood plain of the Susquehanna River, north of the facility. The hydrogeologic conditions of the site have been thoroughly evaluated and presented in earlier submittals. Additionally, throughout the ground water remedial system's operation, and continuing today, ground water surrounding the site has been extensively monitored.

In May 1995, Amphenol proposed that the ground water collection and treatment system be shut down. The technical justification of this proposal was that influent concentrations to the treatment system of several VOC indicator contaminants had been reduced to less than detectable levels for several monitoring periods. NYSDEC agreed to this proposal for a six-month test period or until November 1995. During the test period, ground water sampling and analysis data continued to indicate concentrations of indicator contaminants were stable and further lowering. As a result of these findings, NYSDEC agreed to continue the remedial system shut down in the absence of data indicating an increase in contaminant indicators.

Ground water monitoring program

Throughout the history of the surface impoundment investigations and remediation project, the ground water monitoring program has evolved. The

present program is described in the revised ground water monitoring program (*Revised Post Closure Ground Water Monitoring Plan; JTM Associates; March 2000 as amended in correspondence from JTM Associates to the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation dated September 20, 2001).* The revised ground water monitoring program consists of four primary elements including:

- Ground water monitoring system maintenance and inspection
- Ground water elevation monitoring
- Ground water quality monitoring
- Data reporting

Ground water elevation monitoring and ground water sampling of select wells takes place semi-annually. Ground water elevations are measured at the following wells:

1S, 1D, 3, 6, 8, 9, 12S, 14, 15S, 15I, 15D, 16, 17S, 17I, 17D, 18S, 18I, 18D, 19S, 19I, 20S, 21S, and 22S

Ground water samples are collected from wells 1S, 1D, 17S, 18I, 18D, 19I, 20S, and 22S. Ground water samples are analyzed for volatile organic compounds (VOCs) using USEPA Method 8021 as described in SW-846.

The revised monitoring program also calls for evaluating the application of passive, diffusion samplers for ground water sample collection. This was

completed by installation of passive samplers during the 2000 spring semi-annual sampling event (May 2, 2000) and retrieving the sampling bags during the October 2000 sampling event. Samples were also collected from 3 select wells employing conventional purge and bail methods. Results from those samples, reported in the 2000 Annual Report (*Amphenol Corporation; Former Surface Impoundment 2000 Annual Ground Water Monitoring Report; JTM ASSOCIATES; February 2001)* demonstrated that passive sampling devices provided representative data at this site and could replace conventional purge and bail sampling for this program. All wells that are sampled now employ passive sampling bags.

In addition to those wells listed above, it was agreed that well 12D would be sampled during the October 2001 monitoring event. The purpose of this sampling was to resolve what appears to be anomalous data in this area of the site that was recorded in mid 1980's and could be indicative of an active source of VOCs. The results of this effort indicated that all parameters were below the detection limit of 1 ppb. This confirms that a source of higher concentration VOCs does not exist in this area of the site.

This report presents the results of the ground water monitoring efforts completed on March 13, 2008 including ground water elevation measurements and ground water sampling and represents the 2008 semi-annual report.

Monitoring results

Ground water monitoring well inspections

Field notes recorded during the well inspections during the monitoring event are included in Appendix A. All wells that are used to provide ground water samples were reported to be in good condition. Animal burrowing was noted around the surface pads at well groups 18 and 19.

Ground water elevation fluctuations

Table 1 summarizes ground water elevations measured from October 2002 through March 2008. Data collected prior to March 2008 are provided in previously submitted monitoring reports. Data plots 1 and 2 illustrate ground water elevation hydrographs for select shallow wells. Wells used for a given hydrograph are at increasing distances from the Susquehanna River. Data plots 3 and 4 illustrate ground water hydrographs for nested well groups made up of wells installed in the shallow, intermediate, and deep portions of the local aquifer.

The stratigraphy at the site consists of highly permeable sediments of glacial and recent alluvial origin. These sediments create a very prolific, water table aquifer adjacent to the Susquehanna River, which is commonly exploited for potable and industrial uses. The Village of Sidney withdraws its potable supply from the deeper portions of this unconfined aquifer approximately 1000 feet east and up-river from the site.

Review of hydrographs presented in data plots 1 and 2 indicates that ground water elevation fluctuations are similar in both frequency and magnitude between wells installed at approximately the same depth and stratagraphic horizon. This results in roughly parallel traces where the time and the amount of fluctuation are nearly equal. This indicates that at wells installed at shallow depths within the aquifer behave in similar ways to recharge and discharge. Also, given the hydrographs represent data from wells at increasing distances from the Susquehanna River, the parallel traces indicate that hydraulic gradient is relatively constant.

Data plots 3 and 4 present hydrographs graphs for well nests 15 and 17. At these locations, ground water monitoring wells are installed at shallow, intermediate and deep zones within the aquifer. This allows comparison of vertical hydraulic potentials within the aquifers.

Review of the data plot 3 reveals a similar fluctuation pattern as that of the shallow well hydrographs. That is, fluctuation patterns are similar in frequency and magnitude for wells installed at the same location but at different depths with in the aquifer. This indicates efficient vertical hydraulic connection and suggests that, throughout the thickness monitored, the aquifer functions as a single hydraulic unit. At well nest 17 (Data Plot 4), although the fluctuation pattern is similar to other locations, well 17D had a much lower ground water elevation during the April 2006 monitoring event indicating a downward vertical gradient

during this period. This is anomalous as it is inconsistent with historical data; it may represent a field data collection error.

Groundwater flow patterns

Figure 1 illustrates a ground water flow map for the March 2007 monitoring event. Review of Figure 1 reveals that the hydraulic gradient across the site is shallow (averaging approximately 0.002 ft/ft). This is due to high hydraulic conductivity of the aquifer materials and the efficient hydraulic communication with the adjacent Susquehanna River. Given the very shallow hydraulic gradient across the site, as evidenced by only slight differences in ground water elevation between wells at different locations, interpretation of horizontal ground water flow patterns is confounded. Therefore, this illustration represents a general interpretation of the distribution of hydraulic potentials across the site.

Historical data have indicated that the local ground water flow direction varies and may either be towards the river (discharging) or away from the river (recharging) depending on river stage and seasonal ground water recharge. Figure 1 indicates a general north-northwest ground water flow direction toward the Susquehanna River channel existed during the March 13, 2008 monitoring event.

Ground water chemistry

Tables 2 summarizes data from April 2001 to the recent sampling event for the VOCs parameters that have been most frequently detected and historically used to

evaluate site impacts and remediation effectiveness. These include chloroform, trichloroethene, and tetrachloroethene. Figure 2 illustrates the concentrations of total VOCs detected in diffusion bag samples at a given monitoring well during the March 2008 sampling.

Since ground water extraction ceased in May 1995, concentrations of indicator VOCs have remained low, generally less than 10 parts per billion (ppb). The historically most ubiquitous contaminant, trichloroethene, is at or below the 5 ppb Ground Water Protection Criteria at all locations except well 19I. This is the only location where the concentration of TCE has been slightly above the maximum contaminant level (MCL = 5ppb), ranging between 5 and 11 ppb since September 1999. The fact that concentrations of these indicators have remained low since the shutoff of the ground water remedial system indicates that the remedial effort was a success and residual sources of contaminants do not exist.

TABLES

Table 1
Amphenol Corporation
Former Surface Impoundments
Ground Water Elevation Summary

Well ID	Oct-02	Apr-03	Oct-03	Apr-04	Oct-04	Apr-05	Oct-05	Apr-06	Oct-06	Apr-07	Nov-07	Mar-08
1-S	969.62	971.61	969.97	971.00	969.69	971.10	969.75	969.29	969.56	969.71	969.72	974.31
1-D	969.48	971.72	970.11	971.09	969.80	971.20	969.84	968.45	969.69	969.86	969.85	974.43
2												
3	969.78	971.11	969.67	970.55	969.26	970.20	969.82	973.84	969.17	969.40	969.56	973.82
4												
5-S												
5-I												
5-D												
6	969.78	971.61	969.99	970.99	969.68	970.99	969.88	972.84	969.62	969.90	969.83	974.36
7-S												
7-D												
8	969.74	971.46	970.02	970.99	969.70	971.10	969.86	970.55	969.65	969.95	969.87	974.50
9	969.76	972.41	970.54	971.65	970.37	972.44	970.08	971.19	970.35	970.65	970.29	975.41
10												
11												
12-S	969.72	971.88	970.19	971.31	969.96	971.58	969.90	971.92	969.82	970.20	970.00	974.71
12-D												
13												
14	969.69	972.22	970.30	971.49	970.19	972.01	970.00	971.36	970.03	970.29	970.03	974.98
15-S	969.66	971.94	969.59	970.52	969.18	970.10	969.77	973.80	969.10	969.34	969.56	973.82
15-I	969.71	971.20	969.72	970.67	969.32	970.34	969.82	973.55	969.26	969.59	969.63	974.00
15-D	969.74	971.50	969.77	970.71	969.37	970.39	970.14	973.60	969.30	969.58	969.64	974.05
16	969.92	971.22	969.81	970.85	969.38	970.24	969.97	974.25	969.30	969.48	969.72	973.86
17-S	969.71	971.38	969.86	970.83	969.48	970.70	969.89	973.05	969.39	969.69	969.71	974.20
17-I	969.73	971.33	969.82	970.77	969.45	970.61	969.83	973.14	969.35	969.60	969.68	974.12
17-D	969.69	971.59	969.89	971.02	969.74	970.90	969.69	970.14	969.72	969.90	969.55	973.84
18-S	969.65	971.67	970.04	971.06	969.74	971.20	969.82	972.29	969.65	969.82	969.80	974.39
18-I	969.73	971.73	970.06	971.12	969.81	971.24	969.80	972.32	969.41	969.82	969.84	974.42
18-D	969.78	971.83	970.06	971.13	969.83	971.29	969.86	972.28	970.04	970.32	969.88	974.44
19-S	969.55	971.46	969.85	971.59	969.47	970.92	969.73	972.32	969.42	969.74	969.60	974.28
19-I	969.75	971.76	970.09	970.39	969.82	971.25	969.89	972.43	969.73	970.02	969.89	974.53
19-D												
20-S	969.57	971.16	969.71	970.62	969.27	970.55	969.39	972.57	969.24	969.52	969.50	974.18
20-I												
20-D												
21-S	969.64	971.00	969.61	970.41	969.08	970.04	969.80	974.14	969.13	969.37	969.53	973.64
21-I												
21-D												
22-S	968.66	970.09	968.69	969.58	968.29	969.30	968.82	972.16	968.18	968.44	968.55	971.91
22-I												
22-D												
23-D												

Table 2
Amphenol Corporation
Former Surface Impoundments
Ground Water Chemistry Summary

Parameter and Date	Well													
	1-S	1-D	5-S	7-S	7-D	17-S	17-I	18-S	18-I	18-D	19-I	20-S	22-S	23-D
Chloroform														
Apr-01	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1			<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	
Oct-01	<1	<1				<1			<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	
Apr-02	<1	<1				<1			<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	
Oct-02	<1	<1				<1			<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	
Apr-03	<1	<1				<1			<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	
Oct-03	<1	<1				<1			<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	
Apr-04	<1	<1				<1			<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	
Oct-04	<1	<1				<1			<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	
Apr-05	<1	<1				<1			<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	
Oct-05	<1	<1				<1			<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	
Apr-06	<1	<1				<1			<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	
Oct-06	2	<1				<1			<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	
Apr-07	<1	<1				<1			<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	
Nov-07	<1	<1				<1			<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	
Mar-08	<5	<5				<5			<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	
Trichloroethene														
Apr-01	3	4	<1	<1	<1	3			3	4	7	2	<1	
Oct-01	4	5				5			2	4	9	4	2	
Apr-02	3	4				4			6	7	9	3	<1	
Oct-02	3	4				4			4	5	8	3	2	
Apr-03	3	4				2			4	6	7	3	<1	
Oct-03	4	4				5			3	6	10	4	<1	
Apr-04	2	3				3			3	4	6	3	<1	
Oct-04	2	3				5			2	2	9	4	<1	
Apr-05	2	4				2			3	2	5	3	<1	
Oct-05	2	4				5			4	2	10	4	<1	
Apr-06	2	5				5			4	3	11	4	<1	
Oct-06	2	4				9			4	3	7	<1	3	
Apr-07	<1	5				4			4	4	11	2	3	
Nov-07	2	5				4			2	2	10	<1	4	
Mar-08	<5	<5				<5			<5	<5	9	<5	<5	

Table 2
Amphenol Corporation
Former Surface Impoundments
Ground Water Chemistry Summary

Well

Parameter and Date		1-S	1-D	5-S	7-S	7-D	17-S	17-I	18-S	18-I	18-D	19-I	20-S	22-S	23-D
Tetrachloroethene															
	Apr-01	3	2	<1	<1	<1	2			1	<1	2	1	<1	
	Oct-01	4	2				2			<1	<1	2	1	1	
	Apr-02	4	2				2			2	2	2	1	<1	
	Oct-02	3	1				2			1	1	2	1	1	
	Apr-03	3	2				2			1	1	2	1	<1	
	Oct-03	4	2				4			1	1	3	2	<1	
	Apr-04	2	1				2			1	1	1	1	<1	
	Oct-04	1	1				2			<1	<1	2	1	<1	
	Apr-05	2	2				2			1	1	2	1	<1	
	Oct-05	3	1				2			1	<1	3	1	<1	
	Apr-06	2	2				3			1	<1	2	2	<1	
	Oct-06	2	2				3			1	<1	2	<1	2	
	Apr-07	2	<1				2			1	<1	2	<1	1	
	Nov-07	2	1				1			<1	<1	2	<1	1	
	Mar-08	<5	<5				<5			<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	
Total Volitiles															
	Apr-01	6	6	<1	<1	<1	5			4	4	9	3	<1	
	Oct-01	8	7				7			2	4	11	5	3	
	Apr-02	7	6				6			8	9	11	4	<1	
	Oct-02	6	5				6			5	6	10	4	3	
	Apr-03	6	6				4			5	7	9	4	<1	
	Oct-03	8	6				9			4	7	13	6	<1	
	Apr-04	4	4				5			4	5	7	4	<1	
	Oct-04	3	4				7			2	2	11	5	<1	
	Apr-05	4	6				4			4	3	7	4	<1	
	Oct-05	5	5				7			5	2	13	5	<1	
	Apr-06	4	7				8			5	3	13	6	<1	
	Oct-06	6	6				12			5	3	9	<1	5	
	Apr-07	2	5				6			5	4	13	2	4	
	Nov-07	4	6				5			2	2	12	0	5	
	Mar-08	0	0				0			0	0	9	0	0	

Notes: 1. Blanks in data prior to 1999 may represent non-detect concentrations or sample not collected
2. Blanks on data beginning March 1999 represent sample not collected

FIGURES



FIGURE 1

AMPHENOL CORPORATION
LAGOON SITE
SIDNEY, NEW YORK

GROUND WATER
FLOW MAP
MARCH 13, 2008

LEGEND

- AMPHENOL RECOVERY WELL
- SHALLOW PIEZOMETER
- DEEP PIEZOMETER
- PIEZOMETER NEST (DEEP AND SHALLOW)
- PIEZOMETER NEST (DEEP, INTERMEDIATE AND SHALLOW)
- 974.5 GROUND WATER ELEVATION CONTOUR (DASHED WHERE INFERRED)
- 978.41 GROUND WATER ELEVATION (Feet)
- DIRECTION OF GROUND WATER FLOW

150 0 150
APPROX. SCALE IN FEET

JANUARY 2009

FILE NO. XXX.XXX.038

JTM ASSOCIATES LLC
environmental consulting



FIGURE 2

AMPHENOL CORPORATION LAGOON SITE SIDNEY, NEW YORK

TOTAL VOC DISTRIBUTION MAP MARCH 2008

LEGEND

- AMPHENOL RECOVERY WELL
- SHALLOW PIEZOMETER
- DEEP PIEZOMETER
- PIEZOMETER NEST (DEEP AND SHALLOW)
- PIEZOMETER NEST (DEEP, INTERMEDIATE AND SHALLOW)

TOTAL VOCs (ppb)

- <5 — SHALLOW
- NW — INTERMEDIATE
- <5 — DEEP
- NS — NOT SAMPLED
- NW — NO WELL AT THIS DEPTH

150 0 150

APPROX. SCALE IN FEET

JANUARY 2009

FILE NO. XXX.XXX.039

JTM ASSOCIATES, LLC
environmental consulting

USES XREF (LAGBASE.DWG) DWG PATH: C:\DWGS\JTM-ASSOCIATES\AMPHENOL\LAGOONS\2011199\039.DWG SF 11

PLOT DATE: 1/31/09

DATA TREND PLOTS

Plot 1
Amphenol Corporation
Former Surface Impoundments
Ground Water Elevations



Plot 2
Amphenol Corporation
Former Surface Impoundments
Ground Water Elevations



Plot 3
Amphenol Corporation
Former Surface Impoundments
Well Nest 15 Ground Water Elevations



Plot 4
Amphenol Corporation
Former Surface Impoundments
Well Nest 17 Ground Water Elevations



LABORATORY DATA

APPENDIX A

Adirondack Environmental Services, Inc

Date: *02-Oct-08*

CLIENT: CH2M Hill OMI Sidney Center
Project: Field Services
Lagoon Site

LabWork Order: **080321020**
PO#: HWSID0308001

Lab SampleID: 080321020-001 **Collection Date:** 3/13/2008
Client Sample ID: 1S **Matrix:** GROUNDWATER

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed
FIELD PARAMETERS						Analyst: **FLD**
Elevation	974.31			ft		3/13/2008
Static Water Level	9.38			ft		3/13/2008

Lab SampleID: 080321020-002 **Collection Date:** 3/13/2008
Client Sample ID: 1D **Matrix:** GROUNDWATER

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed
FIELD PARAMETERS						Analyst: **FLD**
Elevation	974.43			ft		3/13/2008
Static Water Level	8.42			ft		3/13/2008

Lab SampleID: 080321020-003 **Collection Date:** 3/13/2008
Client Sample ID: 3 **Matrix:** GROUNDWATER

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed
FIELD PARAMETERS						Analyst: **FLD**
Elevation	973.82			ft		3/13/2008
Static Water Level	10.13			ft		3/13/2008

Lab SampleID: 080321020-004 **Collection Date:** 3/13/2008
Client Sample ID: 6 **Matrix:** GROUNDWATER

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed
FIELD PARAMETERS						Analyst: **FLD**
Elevation	974.36			ft		3/13/2008
Static Water Level	10.30			ft		3/13/2008

Qualifiers:
ND - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
J - Analyte detected below quantitation limits,Estimated
B - Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
X - Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level
S - Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
R - RPD outside accepted recovery limits
T - Tentitively Identified Compound-Estimated Conc.
E - Value above quantitation range

Adirondack Environmental Services, Inc

Date: *02-Oct-08*

CLIENT: CH2M Hill OMI Sidney Center
Project: Field Services
Lagoon Site

LabWork Order: **080321020**
PO#: HWSID0308001

Lab SampleID: 080321020-005 **Collection Date:** 3/13/2008
Client Sample ID: 8 **Matrix:** GROUNDWATER

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed
FIELD PARAMETERS						Analyst: **FLD**
Elevation	974.50			ft		3/13/2008
Static Water Level	10.90			ft		3/13/2008

Lab SampleID: 080321020-006 **Collection Date:** 3/13/2008
Client Sample ID: 9 **Matrix:** GROUNDWATER

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed
FIELD PARAMETERS						Analyst: **FLD**
Elevation	975.41			ft		3/13/2008
Static Water Level	10.70			ft		3/13/2008

Lab SampleID: 080321020-007 **Collection Date:** 3/13/2008
Client Sample ID: 12S **Matrix:** GROUNDWATER

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed
FIELD PARAMETERS						Analyst: **FLD**
Elevation	974.71			ft		3/13/2008
Static Water Level	7.50			ft		3/13/2008

Lab SampleID: 080321020-008 **Collection Date:** 3/13/2008
Client Sample ID: 14 **Matrix:** GROUNDWATER

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed
FIELD PARAMETERS						Analyst: **FLD**
Elevation	974.98			ft		3/13/2008
Static Water Level	8.20			ft		3/13/2008

Qualifiers:
ND - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
J - Analyte detected below quantitation limits,Estimated
B - Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
X - Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level
S - Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
R - RPD outside accepted recovery limits
T - Tentitively Identified Compound-Estimated Conc.
E - Value above quantitation range

Adirondack Environmental Services, Inc

Date: *02-Oct-08*

CLIENT: CH2M Hill OMI Sidney Center
Project: Field Services
Lagoon Site

LabWork Order: **080321020**
PO#: HWSID0308001

Lab SampleID: 080321020-009 **Collection Date:** 3/13/2008
Client Sample ID: 15S **Matrix:** GROUNDWATER

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed
FIELD PARAMETERS						Analyst: **FLD**
Elevation	973.82			ft		3/13/2008
Static Water Level	5.96			ft		3/13/2008

Lab SampleID: 080321020-010 **Collection Date:** 3/13/2008
Client Sample ID: 15I **Matrix:** GROUNDWATER

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed
FIELD PARAMETERS						Analyst: **FLD**
Elevation	974.00			ft		3/13/2008
Static Water Level	6.00			ft		3/13/2008

Lab SampleID: 080321020-011 **Collection Date:** 3/13/2008
Client Sample ID: 15D **Matrix:** GROUNDWATER

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed
FIELD PARAMETERS						Analyst: **FLD**
Elevation	974.05			ft		3/13/2008
Static Water Level	6.66			ft		3/13/2008

Lab SampleID: 080321020-012 **Collection Date:** 3/13/2008
Client Sample ID: 16 **Matrix:** GROUNDWATER

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed
FIELD PARAMETERS						Analyst: **FLD**
Elevation	973.86			ft		3/13/2008
Static Water Level	7.59			ft		3/13/2008

Qualifiers:
ND - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
J - Analyte detected below quantitation limits,Estimated
B - Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
X - Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level
S - Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
R - RPD outside accepted recovery limits
T - Tentitively Identified Compound-Estimated Conc.
E - Value above quantitation range

Adirondack Environmental Services, Inc

Date: *02-Oct-08*

CLIENT: CH2M Hill OMI Sidney Center
Project: Field Services
Lagoon Site

LabWork Order: **080321020**
PO#: HWSID0308001

Lab SampleID: 080321020-013 **Collection Date:** 3/13/2008
Client Sample ID: 17S **Matrix:** GROUNDWATER

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed
FIELD PARAMETERS						Analyst: FLD
Elevation	974.20			ft		3/13/2008
Static Water Level	7.23			ft		3/13/2008

Lab SampleID: 080321020-014 **Collection Date:** 3/13/2008
Client Sample ID: 17I **Matrix:** GROUNDWATER

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed
FIELD PARAMETERS						Analyst: FLD
Elevation	974.12			ft		3/13/2008
Static Water Level	7.45			ft		3/13/2008

Lab SampleID: 080321020-015 **Collection Date:** 3/13/2008
Client Sample ID: 17D **Matrix:** GROUNDWATER

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed
FIELD PARAMETERS						Analyst: FLD
Elevation	973.84			ft		3/13/2008
Static Water Level	8.15			ft		3/13/2008

Lab SampleID: 080321020-016 **Collection Date:** 3/13/2008
Client Sample ID: 18S **Matrix:** GROUNDWATER

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed
FIELD PARAMETERS						Analyst: FLD
Elevation	974.39			ft		3/13/2008
Static Water Level	8.76			ft		3/13/2008

Qualifiers:
ND - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
J - Analyte detected below quantitation limits,Estimated
B - Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
X - Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level
S - Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
R - RPD outside accepted recovery limits
T - Tentitively Identified Compound-Estimated Conc.
E - Value above quantitation range

Adirondack Environmental Services, Inc

Date: *02-Oct-08*

CLIENT: CH2M Hill OMI Sidney Center
Project: Field Services
Lagoon Site

LabWork Order: **080321020**
PO#: HWSID0308001

Lab SampleID: 080321020-017 **Collection Date:** 3/13/2008
Client Sample ID: 18I **Matrix:** GROUNDWATER

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed
FIELD PARAMETERS						Analyst: **FLD**
Elevation	974.42			ft		3/13/2008
Static Water Level	8.47			ft		3/13/2008

Lab SampleID: 080321020-018 **Collection Date:** 3/13/2008
Client Sample ID: 18D **Matrix:** GROUNDWATER

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed
FIELD PARAMETERS						Analyst: **FLD**
Elevation	974.44			ft		3/13/2008
Static Water Level	8.79			ft		3/13/2008

Lab SampleID: 080321020-019 **Collection Date:** 3/13/2008
Client Sample ID: 19S **Matrix:** GROUNDWATER

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed
FIELD PARAMETERS						Analyst: **FLD**
Elevation	974.28			ft		3/13/2008
Static Water Level	9.84			ft		3/13/2008

Lab SampleID: 080321020-020 **Collection Date:** 3/13/2008
Client Sample ID: 19I **Matrix:** GROUNDWATER

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed
FIELD PARAMETERS						Analyst: **FLD**
Elevation	974.53			ft		3/13/2008
Static Water Level	9.15			ft		3/13/2008

Qualifiers:
ND - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
J - Analyte detected below quantitation limits,Estimated
B - Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
X - Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level
S - Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
R - RPD outside accepted recovery limits
T - Tentitively Identified Compound-Estimated Conc.
E - Value above quantitation range

Adirondack Environmental Services, Inc

Date: *02-Oct-08*

CLIENT: CH2M Hill OMI Sidney Center
Project: Field Services
Lagoon Site

LabWork Order: **080321020**
PO#: HWSID0308001

Lab SampleID: 080321020-021 **Collection Date:** 3/13/2008
Client Sample ID: 20S **Matrix:** GROUNDWATER

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed
FIELD PARAMETERS						Analyst: FLD
Elevation	974.18			ft		3/13/2008
Static Water Level	8.19			ft		3/13/2008

Lab SampleID: 080321020-022 **Collection Date:** 3/13/2008
Client Sample ID: 21S **Matrix:** GROUNDWATER

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed
FIELD PARAMETERS						Analyst: FLD
Elevation	973.64			ft		3/13/2008
Static Water Level	5.95			ft		3/13/2008

Lab SampleID: 080321020-023 **Collection Date:** 3/13/2008
Client Sample ID: 22S **Matrix:** GROUNDWATER

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed
FIELD PARAMETERS						Analyst: FLD
Elevation	971.91			ft		3/13/2008
Static Water Level	3.55			ft		3/13/2008

Qualifiers:
ND - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
J - Analyte detected below quantitation limits,Estimated
B - Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
X - Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level
S - Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
R - RPD outside accepted recovery limits
T - Tentitively Identified Compound-Estimated Conc.
E - Value above quantitation range

HONEYWELL
HONEYWELL - SIDNEY SITES
METHOD 624 - VOLATILES
ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

Client No.

17S

Lab Name: TestAmerica Laboratories Inc. Contract: _____

Lab Code: RECNY Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: A8255401

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: P5841.RR

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Samp/Recv: 03/13/2008 03/14/2008

Moisture: not dec. _____ Heated Purge: N Date Analyzed: 03/20/2008

GC Column: ZB-624 ID: 0.25 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.00

Soil Extract Volume: _____ (uL) Soil Aliquot Volume: _____ (uL)

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L	Q
75-71-8	Dichlorodifluoromethane	5.0	U
74-87-3	Chloromethane	5.0	U
75-01-4	Vinyl chloride	5.0	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	5.0	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	5.0	U
75-69-4	Trichlorofluoromethane	5.0	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5.0	U
75-09-2	Methylene chloride	5.0	U
156-60-5	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.0	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5.0	U
156-59-2	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.0	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5.0	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5.0	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5.0	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5.0	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5.0	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5.0	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5.0	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5.0	U
10061-02-6	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5.0	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5.0	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5.0	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5.0	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5.0	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5.0	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5.0	U
541-73-1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	5.0	U
106-46-7	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	5.0	U
95-50-1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	5.0	U

HONEYWELL
HONEYWELL - SIDNEY SITES
METHOD 624 - VOLATILES
ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

Client No.: 18D

Lab Name: TestAmerica Laboratories Inc. Contract: _____

Lab Code: RECNY Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: A8255402

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: P5842.RR

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Samp/Recv: 03/13/2008 03/14/2008

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Heated Purge: N Date Analyzed: 03/20/2008

GC Column: ZB-624 ID: 0.25 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.00

Soil Extract Volume: _____ (uL) Soil Aliquot Volume: _____ (uL)

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L	Q
75-71-8	Dichlorodifluoromethane	5.0	U
74-87-3	Chloromethane	5.0	U
75-01-4	Vinyl chloride	5.0	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	5.0	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	5.0	U
75-69-4	Trichlorofluoromethane	5.0	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5.0	U
75-09-2	Methylene chloride	5.0	U
156-60-5	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.0	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5.0	U
156-59-2	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.0	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5.0	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5.0	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5.0	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5.0	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5.0	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5.0	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5.0	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5.0	U
10061-02-6	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5.0	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5.0	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5.0	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5.0	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5.0	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5.0	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5.0	U
541-73-1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	5.0	U
106-46-7	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	5.0	U
95-50-1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	5.0	U

HONEYWELL
HONEYWELL - SIDNEY SITES
METHOD 624 - VOLATILES
ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

Client No.: 18I

Lab Name: TestAmerica Laboratories Inc. Contract: _____

Lab Code: RECNY Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: A8255403

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: P5843.RR

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Samp/Recv: 03/13/2008 03/14/2008

Moisture: not dec. _____ Heated Purge: N Date Analyzed: 03/20/2008

GC Column: ZB-624 ID: 0.25 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.00

Soil Extract Volume: _____ (uL) Soil Aliquot Volume: _____ (uL)

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L	Q
75-71-8	Dichlorodifluoromethane	5.0	U
74-87-3	Chloromethane	5.0	U
75-01-4	Vinyl chloride	5.0	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	5.0	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	5.0	U
75-69-4	Trichlorofluoromethane	5.0	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5.0	U
75-09-2	Methylene chloride	5.0	U
156-60-5	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.0	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5.0	U
156-59-2	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.0	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5.0	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5.0	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5.0	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5.0	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5.0	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5.0	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5.0	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5.0	U
10061-02-6	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5.0	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5.0	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5.0	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5.0	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5.0	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5.0	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5.0	U
541-73-1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	5.0	U
106-46-7	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	5.0	U
95-50-1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	5.0	U

HONEYWELL
HONEYWELL - SIDNEY SITES
METHOD 624 - VOLATILES
ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

Client No.: 19I

Lab Name: TestAmerica Laboratories Inc. Contract: _____

Lab Code: RECNY Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: A8255404

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: P5844.RR

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Samp/Recv: 03/13/2008 03/14/2008

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Heated Purge: N Date Analyzed: 03/20/2008

GC Column: ZB-624 ID: 0.25 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.00

Soil Extract Volume: _____ (uL) Soil Aliquot Volume: _____ (uL)

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L	Q
75-71-8	Dichlorodifluoromethane	5.0	U
74-87-3	Chloromethane	5.0	U
75-01-4	Vinyl chloride	5.0	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	5.0	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	5.0	U
75-69-4	Trichlorofluoromethane	5.0	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5.0	U
75-09-2	Methylene chloride	5.0	U
156-60-5	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.0	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5.0	U
156-59-2	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.0	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5.0	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5.0	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5.0	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5.0	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	9.2	
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5.0	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5.0	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5.0	U
10061-02-6	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5.0	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5.0	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5.0	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5.0	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5.0	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5.0	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5.0	U
541-73-1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	5.0	U
106-46-7	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	5.0	U
95-50-1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	5.0	U

HONEYWELL
HONEYWELL - SIDNEY SITES
METHOD 624 - VOLATILES
ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

Client No.

1D

b Name: TestAmerica Laboratories Inc. Contract: _____

ab Code: RECNY Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

trix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: A8255405

mple wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: P5845.RR

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Samp/Recv: 03/13/2008 03/14/2008

Moisture: not dec. _____ Heated Purge: N Date Analyzed: 03/20/2008

GC Column: ZB-624 ID: 0.25 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.00

Soil Extract Volume: _____ (uL) Soil Aliquot Volume: _____ (uL)

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L	Q
75-71-8	Dichlorodifluoromethane	5.0	U
74-87-3	Chloromethane	5.0	U
75-01-4	Vinyl chloride	5.0	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	5.0	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	5.0	U
75-69-4	Trichlorofluoromethane	5.0	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5.0	U
75-09-2	Methylene chloride	5.0	U
156-60-5	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.0	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5.0	U
156-59-2	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.0	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5.0	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5.0	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5.0	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5.0	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5.0	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5.0	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5.0	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5.0	U
10061-02-6	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5.0	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5.0	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5.0	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5.0	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5.0	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5.0	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5.0	U
541-73-1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	5.0	U
106-46-7	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	5.0	U
95-50-1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	5.0	U

HONEYWELL
HONEYWELL - SIDNEY SITES
METHOD 624 - VOLATILES
ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

Client No.

1D DUPLICATE

Lab Name: TestAmerica Laboratories Inc. Contract: _____

Lab Code: RECNY Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: A8255409

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: P5849.RR

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Samp/Recv: 03/13/2008 03/14/2008

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Heated Purge: N Date Analyzed: 03/20/2008

GC Column: ZB-624 ID: 0.25 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.00

Soil Extract Volume: _____ (uL) Soil Aliquot Volume: _____ (uL)

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L	Q
75-71-8	Dichlorodifluoromethane	5.0	U
74-87-3	Chloromethane	5.0	U
75-01-4	Vinyl chloride	5.0	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	5.0	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	5.0	U
75-69-4	Trichlorofluoromethane	5.0	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5.0	U
75-09-2	Methylene chloride	5.0	U
156-60-5	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.0	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5.0	U
156-59-2	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.0	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5.0	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5.0	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5.0	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5.0	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5.0	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5.0	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5.0	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5.0	U
10061-02-6	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5.0	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5.0	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5.0	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5.0	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5.0	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5.0	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5.0	U
541-73-1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	5.0	U
106-46-7	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	5.0	U
95-50-1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	5.0	U

HONEYWELL
HONEYWELL - SIDNEY SITES
METHOD 624 - VOLATILES
ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

Client No.: 1S

Lab Name: TestAmerica Laboratories Inc. Contract: _____

Lab Code: RECNY Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: A8255406

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: P5846.RR

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Samp/Recv: 03/13/2008 03/14/2008

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Heated Purge: N Date Analyzed: 03/20/2008

GC Column: ZB-624 ID: 0.25 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.00

Soil Extract Volume: _____ (uL) Soil Aliquot Volume: _____ (uL)

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L	Q
75-71-8	Dichlorodifluoromethane	5.0	U
74-87-3	Chloromethane	5.0	U
75-01-4	Vinyl chloride	5.0	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	5.0	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	5.0	U
75-69-4	Trichlorofluoromethane	5.0	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5.0	U
75-09-2	Methylene chloride	5.0	U
156-60-5	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.0	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5.0	U
156-59-2	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.0	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5.0	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5.0	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5.0	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5.0	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5.0	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5.0	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5.0	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5.0	U
10061-02-6	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5.0	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5.0	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5.0	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5.0	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5.0	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5.0	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5.0	U
541-73-1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	5.0	U
106-46-7	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	5.0	U
95-50-1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	5.0	U

HONEYWELL
HONEYWELL - SIDNEY SITES
METHOD 624 - VOLATILES
ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

Client No.: 20S

Lab Name: TestAmerica Laboratories Inc. Contract: _____

Lab Code: RECNY Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: A8255407

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: P5847.RR

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Samp/Recv: 03/13/2008 03/14/2008

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Heated Purge: N Date Analyzed: 03/20/2008

GC Column: ZB-624 ID: 0.25 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.00

Soil Extract Volume: _____ (uL) Soil Aliquot Volume: _____ (uL)

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L	Q
75-71-8	Dichlorodifluoromethane	5.0	U
74-87-3	Chloromethane	5.0	U
75-01-4	Vinyl chloride	5.0	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	5.0	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	5.0	U
75-69-4	Trichlorofluoromethane	5.0	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5.0	U
75-09-2	Methylene chloride	5.0	U
156-60-5	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.0	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5.0	U
156-59-2	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.0	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5.0	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5.0	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5.0	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5.0	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5.0	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5.0	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5.0	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5.0	U
10061-02-6	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5.0	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5.0	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5.0	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5.0	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5.0	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5.0	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5.0	U
541-73-1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	5.0	U
106-46-7	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	5.0	U
95-50-1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	5.0	U

HONEYWELL
HONEYWELL - SIDNEY SITES
METHOD 624 - VOLATILES
ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

Client No.: 22S

Lab Name: TestAmerica Laboratories Inc. Contract: _____

Lab Code: RECNY Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: A8255408

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: P5848.RR

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Samp/Recv: 03/13/2008 03/14/2008

Moisture: not dec. _____ Heated Purge: N Date Analyzed: 03/20/2008

GC Column: ZB-624 ID: 0.25 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.00

Soil Extract Volume: _____ (uL) Soil Aliquot Volume: _____ (uL)

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L	Q
75-71-8	Dichlorodifluoromethane	5.0	U
74-87-3	Chloromethane	5.0	U
75-01-4	Vinyl chloride	5.0	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	5.0	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	5.0	U
75-69-4	Trichlorofluoromethane	5.0	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5.0	U
75-09-2	Methylene chloride	5.0	U
156-60-5	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.0	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5.0	U
156-59-2	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.0	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5.0	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5.0	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5.0	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5.0	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5.0	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5.0	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5.0	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5.0	U
10061-02-6	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5.0	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5.0	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5.0	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5.0	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5.0	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5.0	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5.0	U
541-73-1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	5.0	U
106-46-7	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	5.0	U
95-50-1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	5.0	U

HONEYWELL
HONEYWELL - SIDNEY SITES
METHOD 624 - VOLATILES
ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

Client No.

FIELD BLANK

Lab Name: TestAmerica Laboratories Inc. Contract: _____

Lab Code: RECNY Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: A8255410

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: P5828.RR

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Samp/Recv: 03/13/2008 03/14/2008

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Heated Purge: N Date Analyzed: 03/20/2008

GC Column: ZB-624 ID: 0.25 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.00

Soil Extract Volume: _____ (uL) Soil Aliquot Volume: _____ (uL)

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L	Q
75-71-8	Dichlorodifluoromethane	5.0	U
74-87-3	Chloromethane	5.0	U
75-01-4	Vinyl chloride	5.0	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	5.0	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	5.0	U
75-69-4	Trichlorofluoromethane	5.0	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5.0	U
75-09-2	Methylene chloride	5.0	U
156-60-5	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.0	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5.0	U
156-59-2	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.0	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5.0	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5.0	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5.0	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5.0	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5.0	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5.0	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5.0	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5.0	U
10061-02-6	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5.0	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5.0	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5.0	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5.0	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5.0	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5.0	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5.0	U
541-73-1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	5.0	U
106-46-7	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	5.0	U
95-50-1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	5.0	U

HONEYWELL
HONEYWELL - SIDNEY SITES
METHOD 624 - VOLATILES
ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

Client No.

TRIP BLANK

Lab Name: TestAmerica Laboratories Inc. Contract: _____

Lab Code: RECNY Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: _____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: A8255411

Sample wt/vol: 5.00 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: P5829.RR

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Samp/Recv: 03/13/2008 03/14/2008

% Moisture: not dec. _____ Heated Purge: N Date Analyzed: 03/20/2008

GC Column: ZB-624 ID: 0.25 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.00

Soil Extract Volume: _____ (uL) Soil Aliquot Volume: _____ (uL)

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L	Q
75-71-8	Dichlorodifluoromethane	5.0	U
74-87-3	Chloromethane	5.0	U
75-01-4	Vinyl chloride	5.0	U
74-83-9	Bromomethane	5.0	U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	5.0	U
75-69-4	Trichlorofluoromethane	5.0	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5.0	U
75-09-2	Methylene chloride	5.0	U
156-60-5	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.0	U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5.0	U
156-59-2	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.0	U
67-66-3	Chloroform	5.0	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5.0	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5.0	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5.0	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5.0	U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5.0	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5.0	U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5.0	U
10061-02-6	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5.0	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5.0	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5.0	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5.0	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	5.0	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5.0	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5.0	U
541-73-1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	5.0	U
106-46-7	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	5.0	U
95-50-1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	5.0	U

Amphenol Corporation
Closed Surface Impoundment
Monitoring Well Inspection Report

Well Designation 1S

Date of Inspection 3/13/08

Time of Inspection 1100 AM

Inspector's Name Michael Wilsey

WELL CONDITIONS			COMMENT
Easily Located (flagging or other landmark?)	(Y)	N	
Identifiable (well designation legible?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Seal Intact?	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Integrity (corroded or bent?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Lock (in place/functioning?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Cap (in place and vented?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Integrity (secure in annular space?)	(Y)	N	
Measuring Point Designated? (mark or ~~notch~~)	(Y)	N	

Other Observations _____

Measuring Point Elevation 983.69

Depth to Water Level 9.38

Ground Water Elevation 974.31

Constructed Well Depth 20.9

Measured Well Depth 18.94

Siltation Thickness 1.96
(Constructed Depth – Measured Depth)

Amphenol Corporation
Closed Surface Impoundment
Monitoring Well Inspection Report

Well Designation 1D

Date of Inspection 3/13/08

Time of Inspection 1055

Inspector's Name Michael Wilsey

WELL CONDITIONS			COMMENT
Easily Located (flagging or other landmark?)	(Y)	N	
Identifiable (well designation legible?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Seal Intact?	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Integrity (corroded or bent?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Lock (in place/functioning?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Cap (in place and vented?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Integrity (secure in annular space?)	(Y)	N	
Measuring Point Designated? (mark or notch)	(Y)	N	

Other Observations _____

Measuring Point Elevation 982.85

Depth to Water Level 8.42

Ground Water Elevation 974.43

Constructed Well Depth 79.9

Measured Well Depth 79.41

Siltation Thickness 0.49
(Constructed Depth – Measured Depth)

Amphenol Corporation
Closed Surface Impoundment
Monitoring Well Inspection Report

Well Designation 3

Date of Inspection 3/13/08

Time of Inspection 1145

Inspector's Name Michael Wilsey

WELL CONDITIONS			COMMENT
Easily Located (flagging or other landmark?)	(Y)	N	
Identifiable (well designation legible?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Seal Intact?	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Integrity (corroded or bent?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Lock (in place/functioning?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Cap (in place and vented?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Integrity (secure in annular space?)	(Y)	N	
Measuring Point Designated? (mark or ~~notch~~)	(Y)	N	

Other Observations _____

Measuring Point Elevation 983.95

Depth to Water Level 10.13

Ground Water Elevation 973.82

Constructed Well Depth 21.3

Measured Well Depth 19.70

Siltation Thickness 1.60
(Constructed Depth – Measured Depth)

Amphenol Corporation
Closed Surface Impoundment
Monitoring Well Inspection Report

Well Designation 6

Date of Inspection 3/13/08

Time of Inspection 1000 Am

Inspector's Name Michael Wilsey

WELL CONDITIONS			COMMENT
Easily Located (flagging or other landmark?)	(Y)	N	
Identifiable (well designation legible?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Seal Intact?	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Integrity (corroded or bent?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Lock (in place/functioning?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Cap (in place and vented?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Integrity (secure in annular space?)	(Y)	N	
Measuring Point Designated? (mark or notch)	(Y)	N	

Other Observations _____

Measuring Point Elevation 984.66

Depth to Water Level 10.30

Ground Water Elevation 974.36

Constructed Well Depth 22.3

Measured Well Depth 21.70

Siltation Thickness 0.60
(Constructed Depth – Measured Depth)

Amphenol Corporation
Closed Surface Impoundment
Monitoring Well Inspection Report

Well Designation 8

Date of Inspection 3/13/08

Time of Inspection 1003

Inspector's Name Michael Wilsey

WELL CONDITIONS			COMMENT
Easily Located (flagging or other landmark?)	(Y)	N	
Identifiable (well designation legible?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Seal Intact?	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Integrity (corroded or bent?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Lock (in place/functioning?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Cap (in place and vented?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Integrity (secure in annular space?)	(Y)	N	
Measuring Point Designated? (mark or notch)	(Y)	N	

Other Observations _____

Measuring Point Elevation 985.40

Depth to Water Level 10.90

Ground Water Elevation 974.50

Constructed Well Depth 24.4

Measured Well Depth 24.16

Siltation Thickness 0.24
(Constructed Depth – Measured Depth)

Amphenol Corporation
Closed Surface Impoundment
Monitoring Well Inspection Report

Well Designation 9

Date of Inspection 3/13/08

Time of Inspection 950 Am

Inspector's Name Michael Wilsey

WELL CONDITIONS			COMMENT
Easily Located (flagging or other landmark?)	(Y)	N	
Identifiable (well designation legible?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Seal Intact?	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Integrity (corroded or bent?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Lock (in place/functioning?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Cap (in place and vented?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Integrity (secure in annular space?)	(Y)	N	
Measuring Point Designated? (mark or ~~notch~~)	(Y)	N	

Other Observations _____

Measuring Point Elevation 986.11

Depth to Water Level 10.70

Ground Water Elevation 975.41

Constructed Well Depth 27.5

Measured Well Depth 26.92

Siltation Thickness 0.58
(Constructed Depth – Measured Depth)

Amphenol Corporation
Closed Surface Impoundment
Monitoring Well Inspection Report

Well Designation 12S

Date of Inspection 3/13/08

Time of Inspection 958 AM

Inspector's Name Michael Wilsey

WELL CONDITIONS			COMMENT
Easily Located (flagging or other landmark?)	(Y)	N	
Identifiable (well designation legible?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Seal Intact?	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Integrity (corroded or bent?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Lock (in place/functioning?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Cap (in place and vented?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Integrity (secure in annular space?)	(Y)	N	
Measuring Point Designated? (mark or notch)	(Y)	N	

Other Observations _____

Measuring Point Elevation 982.21

Depth to Water Level 7.50

Ground Water Elevation 974.71

Constructed Well Depth 23.0

Measured Well Depth 22.18

Siltation Thickness 0.82
(Constructed Depth – Measured Depth)

Amphenol Corporation
Closed Surface Impoundment
Monitoring Well Inspection Report

Well Designation 14

Date of Inspection 3/13/08

Time of Inspection 955 AM

Inspector's Name Michael Wilsey

WELL CONDITIONS			COMMENT
Easily Located (flagging or other landmark?)	(Y)	N	
Identifiable (well designation legible?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Seal Intact?	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Integrity (corroded or bent?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Lock (in place/functioning?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Cap (in place and vented?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Integrity (secure in annular space?)	Y	(N)	Upper 4.5' of PVC is loose from coupling
Measuring Point Designated? (mark or notch)	(Y)	N	

Other Observations _____

Measuring Point Elevation 983.18

Depth to Water Level 8.20

Ground Water Elevation 974.98

Constructed Well Depth 23.1

Measured Well Depth 22.80

Siltation Thickness 0.30
(Constructed Depth – Measured Depth)

Amphenol Corporation
Closed Surface Impoundment
Monitoring Well Inspection Report

Well Designation 15 S

Date of Inspection 3/13/08

Time of Inspection 1140 AM

Inspector's Name Michael Wilsey

WELL CONDITIONS			COMMENT
Easily Located (flagging or other landmark?)	(Y)	N	
Identifiable (well designation legible?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Seal Intact?	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Integrity (corroded or bent?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Lock (in place/functioning?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Cap (in place and vented?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Integrity (secure in annular space?)	(Y)	N	
Measuring Point Designated? (mark or notch)	(Y)	N	

Other Observations _____

Measuring Point Elevation 979.78

Depth to Water Level 5.96

Ground Water Elevation 973.82

Constructed Well Depth 19.7

Measured Well Depth 19.46

Siltation Thickness 0.24
(Constructed Depth – Measured Depth)

Amphenol Corporation
Closed Surface Impoundment
Monitoring Well Inspection Report

Well Designation 15I

Date of Inspection 3/13/08

Time of Inspection 1142 Am

Inspector's Name Michael Wilsey

WELL CONDITIONS			COMMENT
Easily Located (flagging or other landmark?)	(Y)	N	
Identifiable (well designation legible?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Seal Intact?	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Integrity (corroded or bent?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Lock (in place/functioning?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Cap (in place and vented?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Integrity (secure in annular space?)	(Y)	N	
Measuring Point Designated? (mark or notch)	(Y)	N	

Other Observations _____

Measuring Point Elevation 980.00

Depth to Water Level 6.00

Ground Water Elevation 974.00

Constructed Well Depth 58.3

Measured Well Depth 58.01

Siltation Thickness 0.29
(Constructed Depth – Measured Depth)

Amphenol Corporation
Closed Surface Impoundment
Monitoring Well Inspection Report

Well Designation 15D

Date of Inspection 3/13/08

Time of Inspection 1142 Am

Inspector's Name Michael Wilsey

WELL CONDITIONS			COMMENT
Easily Located (flagging or other landmark?)	(Y)	N	
Identifiable (well designation legible?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Seal Intact?	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Integrity (corroded or bent?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Lock (in place/functioning?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Cap (in place and vented?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Integrity (secure in annular space?)	(Y)	N	
Measuring Point Designated? (mark or notch)	(Y)	N	

Other Observations _____

Measuring Point Elevation 980.71

Depth to Water Level 6.66

Ground Water Elevation 974.05

Constructed Well Depth 102.3

Measured Well Depth 92.23

Siltation Thickness 10.07
(Constructed Depth – Measured Depth)

Amphenol Corporation
Closed Surface Impoundment
Monitoring Well Inspection Report

Well Designation 16

Date of Inspection 3/13/08

Time of Inspection 1215 AM

Inspector's Name Michael Wilsey

WELL CONDITIONS			COMMENT
Easily Located (flagging or other landmark?)	(Y)	N	
Identifiable (well designation legible?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Seal Intact?	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Integrity (corroded or bent?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Lock (in place/functioning?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Cap (in place and vented?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Integrity (secure in annular space?)	(Y)	N	
Measuring Point Designated? (mark or notch)	(Y)	N	

Other Observations _____

Measuring Point Elevation 981.45

Depth to Water Level 7.59

Ground Water Elevation 973.86

Constructed Well Depth 22.5

Measured Well Depth 20.96

Siltation Thickness 1.54
(Constructed Depth – Measured Depth)

Amphenol Corporation
Closed Surface Impoundment
Monitoring Well Inspection Report

Well Designation 17S

Date of Inspection 3/13/08

Time of Inspection 1158 AM

Inspector's Name Michael Wilsey

WELL CONDITIONS			COMMENT
Easily Located (flagging or other landmark?)	(Y)	N	
Identifiable (well designation legible?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Seal Intact?	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Integrity (corroded or bent?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Lock (in place/functioning?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Cap (in place and vented?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Integrity (secure in annular space?)	(Y)	N	
Measuring Point Designated? (mark or notch)	(Y)	N	

Other Observations _____

Measuring Point Elevation 981.43

Depth to Water Level 7.23

Ground Water Elevation 974.20

Constructed Well Depth 27.1

Measured Well Depth 26.42

Siltation Thickness 0.66
(Constructed Depth – Measured Depth)

Amphenol Corporation
Closed Surface Impoundment
Monitoring Well Inspection Report

Well Designation 17I

Date of Inspection 3/13/08

Time of Inspection 1205 AM

Inspector's Name Michael Wilsey

WELL CONDITIONS			COMMENT
Easily Located (flagging or other landmark?)	(Y)	N	
Identifiable (well designation legible?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Seal Intact?	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Integrity (corroded or bent?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Lock (in place/functioning?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Cap (in place and vented?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Integrity (secure in annular space?)	(Y)	N	
Measuring Point Designated? (mark or notch)	(Y)	N	

Other Observations _____

Measuring Point Elevation 981.57

Depth to Water Level 7.45

Ground Water Elevation 974.12

Constructed Well Depth 81.5

Measured Well Depth 61.30

Siltation Thickness 20.20
(Constructed Depth – Measured Depth)

Amphenol Corporation
Closed Surface Impoundment
Monitoring Well Inspection Report

Well Designation 17D

Date of Inspection 3/13/08

Time of Inspection 1202 PM

Inspector's Name Michael Wilsey

WELL CONDITIONS			COMMENT
Easily Located (flagging or other landmark?)	(Y)	N	
Identifiable (well designation legible?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Seal Intact?	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Integrity (corroded or bent?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Lock (in place/functioning?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Cap (in place and vented?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Integrity (secure in annular space?)	(Y)	N	
Measuring Point Designated? (mark or notch)	(Y)	N	

Other Observations _____

Measuring Point Elevation 981.99

Depth to Water Level 8.15

Ground Water Elevation 973.84

Constructed Well Depth 127.2

Measured Well Depth 125.95

Siltation Thickness 1.25
(Constructed Depth – Measured Depth)

Amphenol Corporation
Closed Surface Impoundment
Monitoring Well Inspection Report

Well Designation 18S

Date of Inspection 3/13/08

Time of Inspection 1030 AM

Inspector's Name Michael Wilsey

WELL CONDITIONS			COMMENT
Easily Located (flagging or other landmark?)	(Y)	N	
Identifiable (well designation legible?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Seal Intact?	(Y)	N	Animal Activity Around Seal
Surface Casing Integrity (corroded or bent?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Lock (in place/functioning?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Cap (in place and vented?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Integrity (secure in annular space?)	(Y)	N	
Measuring Point Designated? (mark or notch)	(Y)	N	

Other Observations _____

Measuring Point Elevation 983.15

Depth to Water Level 8.76

Ground Water Elevation 974.39

Constructed Well Depth 27.1

Measured Well Depth 25.40

Siltation Thickness 1.70
(Constructed Depth – Measured Depth)

Amphenol Corporation
Closed Surface Impoundment
Monitoring Well Inspection Report

Well Designation 18 I

Date of Inspection 3/13/08

Time of Inspection 1018 AM

Inspector's Name Michael Wilsey

WELL CONDITIONS			COMMENT
Easily Located (flagging or other landmark?)	(Y)	N	
Identifiable (well designation legible?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Seal Intact?	(Y)	N	Animal Activity Around Seal
Surface Casing Integrity (corroded or bent?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Lock (in place/functioning?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Cap (in place and vented?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Integrity (secure in annular space?)	(Y)	N	
Measuring Point Designated? (mark or notch)	(Y)	N	

Other Observations _____

Measuring Point Elevation 982.89

Depth to Water Level 8.47

Ground Water Elevation 974.42

Constructed Well Depth 62.2

Measured Well Depth 61.83

Siltation Thickness 0.37
(Constructed Depth – Measured Depth)

Amphenol Corporation
Closed Surface Impoundment
Monitoring Well Inspection Report

Well Designation 18D

Date of Inspection 3/13/08

Time of Inspection 1025 AM

Inspector's Name Michael Wilsey

WELL CONDITIONS			COMMENT
Easily Located (flagging or other landmark?)	(Y)	N	
Identifiable (well designation legible?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Seal Intact?	(Y)	N	Animal Activity Around Seal
Surface Casing Integrity (corroded or bent?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Lock (in place/functioning?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Cap (in place and vented?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Integrity (secure in annular space?)	(Y)	N	
Measuring Point Designated? (mark or notch)	(Y)	N	

Other Observations _____

Measuring Point Elevation 983.23

Depth to Water Level 8.79

Ground Water Elevation 974.44

Constructed Well Depth 123.1

Measured Well Depth 122.43

Siltation Thickness 0.67
(Constructed Depth – Measured Depth)

Amphenol Corporation
Closed Surface Impoundment
Monitoring Well Inspection Report

Well Designation 19S

Date of Inspection 3/13/08

Time of Inspection 1110 AM

Inspector's Name Michael Wilsey

WELL CONDITIONS			COMMENT
Easily Located (flagging or other landmark?)	(Y)	N	
Identifiable (well designation legible?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Seal Intact?	(Y)	N	Animal Activity Around Seal
Surface Casing Integrity (corroded or bent?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Lock (in place/functioning?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Cap (in place and vented?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Integrity (secure in annular space?)	(Y)	N	
Measuring Point Designated? (mark or notch)	(Y)	N	

Other Observations _____

Measuring Point Elevation 984.12

Depth to Water Level 9.84

Ground Water Elevation 974.28

Constructed Well Depth 27.5

Measured Well Depth 26.48

Siltation Thickness 1.02
(Constructed Depth – Measured Depth)

Amphenol Corporation
Closed Surface Impoundment
Monitoring Well Inspection Report

Well Designation 19I

Date of Inspection 3/13/08

Time of Inspection 1102 AM

Inspector's Name Michael Wilsey

WELL CONDITIONS			COMMENT
Easily Located (flagging or other landmark?)	(Y)	N	
Identifiable (well designation legible?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Seal Intact?	(Y)	N	Animal Activity Around Seal
Surface Casing Integrity (corroded or bent?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Lock (in place/functioning?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Cap (in place and vented?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Integrity (secure in annular space?)	(Y)	N	
Measuring Point Designated? (mark or notch)	(Y)	N	

Other Observations _____

Measuring Point Elevation	983.68
Depth to Water Level	9.15
Ground Water Elevation	974.53
Constructed Well Depth	52.1
Measured Well Depth	49.84
Siltation Thickness (Constructed Depth – Measured Depth)	2.21

Amphenol Corporation
Closed Surface Impoundment
Monitoring Well Inspection Report

Well Designation 205

Date of Inspection 3/13/08

Time of Inspection 1115 AM

Inspector's Name Michael Wilsey

WELL CONDITIONS			COMMENT
Easily Located (flagging or other landmark?)	(Y)	N	
Identifiable (well designation legible?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Seal Intact?	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Integrity (corroded or bent?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Lock (in place/functioning?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Cap (in place and vented?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Integrity (secure in annular space?)	(Y)	N	
Measuring Point Designated? (mark or notch)	(Y)	N	

Other Observations _____

Measuring Point Elevation 982.37

Depth to Water Level 8.19

Ground Water Elevation 974.18

Constructed Well Depth 27.2

Measured Well Depth 25.46

Siltation Thickness 1.74
(Constructed Depth – Measured Depth)

Amphenol Corporation
Closed Surface Impoundment
Monitoring Well Inspection Report

Well Designation 215

Date of Inspection 3/13/08

Time of Inspection 11.50 AM

Inspector's Name Michael Wilsey

WELL CONDITIONS			COMMENT
Easily Located (flagging or other landmark?)	(Y)	N	
Identifiable (well designation legible?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Seal Intact?	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Integrity (corroded or bent?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Lock (in place/functioning?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Cap (in place and vented?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Integrity (secure in annular space?)	Y	(N)	top 4.5' of PVC is loose in annular space
Measuring Point Designated? (mark or notch)	(Y)	N	

Other Observations _____

Measuring Point Elevation 979.59

Depth to Water Level 5.95

Ground Water Elevation 973.64

Constructed Well Depth 27.1

Measured Well Depth 24.25

Siltation Thickness 2.85
(Constructed Depth – Measured Depth)

Amphenol Corporation
Closed Surface Impoundment
Monitoring Well Inspection Report

Well Designation 22S

Date of Inspection 3/13/08

Time of Inspection 1125 AM

Inspector's Name Michael Wilsey

WELL CONDITIONS			COMMENT
Easily Located (flagging or other landmark?)	(Y)	N	
Identifiable (well designation legible?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Seal Intact?	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Integrity (corroded or bent?)	(Y)	N	
Surface Casing Lock (in place/functioning?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Cap (in place and vented?)	(Y)	N	
Inner Casing Integrity (secure in annular space?)	(Y)	N	
Measuring Point Designated? (mark or notch)	(Y)	N	

Other Observations _____

Measuring Point Elevation 975.46

Depth to Water Level 3.55

Ground Water Elevation 971.91

Constructed Well Depth 27.5

Measured Well Depth 25.62

Siltation Thickness 1.90
(Constructed Depth – Measured Depth)